

Summary

	<u>Page</u>
CHAPTER 1	
THE ABDEM PROJECT: ESSENTIAL BACKGROUND	25
<i>ESTHER RAYA DÍEZ</i>	
1. Objectives	25
2. Project Phases	26
3. Conceptual Framework	27
3.1. <i>An Approach to Education Based on Human Rights</i>	28
3.2. <i>The United Nations World Programme for Human Rights Education</i>	33
3.3. <i>Measuring the Commitment, the Effort and the Outcomes in Human Rights Terms: the Human Rights Indicators</i>	37
4. Research Methodology	39
4.1. <i>Creating Indicators</i>	40
4.2. <i>International Reports and Reports from Each Academic Institute</i>	59
4.3. <i>A Diagnostic Assessment of HRBA Implementation Using SWOT Analysis</i>	59
CHAPTER 2	
THE LEGAL COMMITMENT OF THE ABDEM COUNTRIES TO INTERNATIONAL LAW ON HUMAN RIGHTS: THE INTERNATIONAL INDICATORS	63
<i>ANA MARÍA VEGA GUTIÉRREZ</i>	
1. Introduction	63
	9

	Page
2. The International Obligations Assumed by the States in the HRBA Consortium	65
2.1. <i>The Volume of International Instruments Ratified Relating to Human Rights</i>	65
2.2. <i>Reservations and Declarations Issued on International Instruments on Human Rights</i>	68
2.3. <i>Cooperation with the Mechanisms for Monitoring Human Rights</i>	73
2.4. <i>Information on human rights education, provided by the ABDEM consortium States to the treaty bodies, the United Nations Special Procedures and the Universal Periodic Review</i>	78
2.4.1. Algeria	78
2.4.2. Morocco	79
2.4.3. Tunisia	81
2.4.4. Spain	82
2.4.5. Italy	85
2.4.6. United Kingdom	87
2.5. <i>Recommendations or observations made by the various monitoring mechanisms for the international treaties on human rights for each of the ABDEM consortium member states</i>	89
3. Conditions and Procedures for the Reception of Human Rights Treaties within the National Order of the HRBA Consortium States	93
3.1. <i>Spain</i>	95
3.2. <i>United Kingdom</i>	98
3.3. <i>Italy</i>	101
3.4. <i>Algeria</i>	103
a. Stage One (1963-1976): the primacy of international law over domestic law	103
b. Stage Two (1976-1989): the similarity of legal stature between international and domestic law	104
c. Stage Three (post 1989): the primacy of international law over domestic law	106
3.5. <i>Tunisia</i>	108
3.6. <i>Morocco</i>	110
4. Table of International Indicators	113

CHAPTER 3

A DIAGNOSIS OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE HUMAN RIGHTS-BASED APPROACH IN THE NATIONAL LEGAL ORDER OF THE ABDEM CONSORTIUM STATES	141
<i>ANA MARÍA VEGA GUTIÉRREZ, FERMÍN NAVARIDAS NALDA, LEONOR GONZÁLEZ MENORCA</i>	
1. Introduction	142
2. Recognition, Protection and Guarantees for Human Rights in the National Judicial Order	143
2.1. <i>Constitutional Protection of Human Rights</i>	143
2.2. <i>National Institutions for the Promotion and Protection of Human Rights</i>	146
2.2.1. Italy	149
2.2.2. Spain	149
2.2.3. United Kingdom	150
2.2.4. Tunisia	151
2.2.5. Morocco	153
a. The National Human Rights Council [Le Conseil national des droits de l'homme] (NHRC [CNDH])	154
b. The Justice and Reconciliation Commission [L'Instance équité et réconciliation] (JRC [IER]) .	156
c. The Ombudsman	157
2.2.6. Algeria	157
3. Dimension 1: the Protection of Human Rights in Higher Education	160
3.1. <i>Line 1: Policies and Related Implementation Measures</i>	160
3.1.1. Structural Indicators	160
3.1.2. Process Indicators	165
3.1.3. Outcome Indicators	168
3.2. <i>Line 2: Teaching and Learning Processes and Tools</i>	171
3.2.1. Structural Indicators	171
3.2.2. Process Indicators	172
3.2.3. Outcome Indicators	173
3.3. <i>Line 3: Research</i>	174
3.3.1. Structural Indicators	174

	Page
3.3.2. Process Indicators	176
3.3.3. Outcome Indicators	177
3.4. <i>Line 4. The Learning Environment</i>	181
3.4.1. Structural Indicators	181
3.4.2. Process Indicators	182
3.4.3. Outcome Indicators	182
3.5. <i>Line 5. Education and the Professional Development of Higher Education Teaching Personnel</i>	183
3.5.1. Structural Indicators	183
3.5.2. Process Indicators	183
3.5.3. Outcome Indicators	183
3.6. <i>Table of Indicators</i>	185
3.6.1. National indicators	185
3.6.2. Centre indicators	193
4. Dimension 2: Human Rights Education in Higher Education .	207
4.1. <i>Line 1: Policies and Related Implementation Measures</i>	207
4.1.1. Structural Indicators	207
4.1.2. Outcome Indicators	208
4.2. <i>Line 2: Teaching and Learning Processes and Tools</i>	208
4.2.1. Structural Indicators	208
4.2.2. Process Indicators	208
4.2.3. Outcome Indicators	210
4.3. <i>Line 3: Research</i>	211
4.3.1. Structural Indicators	211
4.3.2. Process Indicators	212
4.3.3. Outcome Indicators	212
4.4. <i>Line 4. The Learning Environment</i>	213
4.4.1. Structural Indicators	213
4.4.2. Process Indicators	214
4.4.3. Outcome Indicators	214
4.5. <i>Line 5. Education and the Professional Development of Higher Education Teaching Personnel</i>	215
4.5.1. Structural Indicators	215
4.5.2. Process Indicators	215
4.5.3. Outcome Indicators	215

SUMARIO

	Page
4.6. <i>Table of Indicators</i>	217
4.6.1. International indicators	217
4.6.2. Centre Indicators	221
5. Conclusions	229

CHAPTER 4

STATUS AND CHALLENGES FOR THE HUMAN RIGHTS-BASED APPROACH IN THE COUNTRIES OF THE MAGHREB . 233

1. Algeria

*NAOUEL ABDELLATIF MAMI, IMENE SOURROUR RYMA TOUABTI,
NARDJESSE SAFFO, SOUMIA CHAKRI*

1.1. National Report

1.1.1. Introduction on the political and educational situation of the country with respect to human rights ... 235

a. At constitutional level

b. At international level

c. At national level

1.1.2. The current state of human rights education in Algerian Higher Education

1.1.3. SWOT analysis based on national criteria

1.1.4. Results and best practices

1.2. Mohamed Lamine Debaghine – Sétif 2 University

1.2.1. An Introduction to the University

1.2.2. University autonomy

1.3. National School of Political Sciences [École Normale Supérieure des Sciences Politiques – ENSSP]

1.3.1. Presentation

1.3.2. Missions and Objectives of the ENSSP

1.3.3. Governing bodies

a. Board of Directors

b. The Scientific Council

c. Scientific Committees

d. Management of the ENSSP

e. The Executive Board

f. The Governing Board

	Page
1.3.4. Statistics	266
1.4. <i>SWOT analysis of Higher Education Institutions</i>	266
1.4.1. Strengths	268
1.4.2. Weaknesses	270
1.4.3. Opportunities	271
1.4.4. The Threats	274
1.4.5. Relationship between the different factors considered in the analysis of Higher Education Institutions	275
1.5. <i>Conclusions of the Focus Group</i>	277
1.6. <i>Best practices</i>	279
1.7. <i>Conclusions</i>	280
2. Morocco	281
<i>ABDESSALAM EL OUAZZANI</i>	
2.1. <i>The Situation at the National Level</i>	281
2.1.1. On the Educational and Political Situation in Morocco	281
2.1.2. SWOT Analysis	285
a. Strengths	285
b. Weaknesses	285
c. Opportunities	286
d. Threats	288
2.1.3. Strategies	289
2.1.4. Observations on the Indicators	289
2.1.5. A summary of best practices in national policy relating to the teaching of human rights and the human rights-based approach in education	290
2.1.6. A résumé on the situation at the national level	291
2.2. <i>An Institutional Analysis of the Mohammed V University in Rabat</i>	291
2.3. <i>The Ain Sebâa Faculty of Legal, Economic and Social Sciences at the Hassan II University in Casablanca</i>	292
2.3.1. An introduction to the Ain Sebâa FSJES	292
2.3.2. The SWOT Analysis and its Repercussions	294
a. Analysis of the Main Strengths and Weaknesses .	295

SUMARIO

	Page
b. Analysis of the Interaction between the Internal and the External Environment	297
2.3.3. Analysis of Indicators, Clarifications and Observations	299
a. Purpose and Observations with Regard to Dimension 1 of the Higher Education Institution . .	299
b. Purpose and Observations with Regard to Dimension 2, "Human Rights in Education"	300
2.3.4. Identifying the Best Practices to be Encouraged	301
3. Tunisia	302
<i>ABDESSATAR MOUELHI, FATEN BEN LAGHA, LASSAAD LABIDI, HELA BEN ALI, HATEM OUERTATANI, HIND SOUDANI, AICHA SAFI, NASSER MOKNI</i>	
3.1. <i>National Report</i>	302
3.1.1. Placing the political and educational situation in Tunisia in context	302
3.1.2. General Introduction to the Higher Education System	303
a. Higher Education in Figures	303
b. Higher Education from the Historical Perspective	305
3.1.3. Tunisian Universities: Current Status and Urgency of Reform	307
a. Current Status	307
b. The Parliamentary Reform Bill to Restructure the Higher Education System	310
3.1.4. A SWOT Analysis Based on the National Indicators	313
a. Policies and Related Applicable Measures	313
b. Teaching and Learning Processes and Tools	314
c. Research	315
d. Contextual Learning	316
e. Education and Vocational Training of Teaching Staff in Higher Education	317
3.1.5. Clarifications, Explanations and Notes Concerning Indicators	318
3.1.6. Overview of Best Practices of National Policy Associated with Human Rights Education and the Human Rights Approach in Education	320

	Page
3.2. <i>The National Employment and Social Studies Institute [Institut National du Travail et des Etudes Sociales –INTES], University of Carthage</i>	322
<i>ABDESSATAR MOUELHI, LASSAAD LABIDI, HATEM OUERTATANI, AICHA SAFI</i>	
3.2.1. An Introduction to INTES	322
a. Background and INTES' Legal Framework	322
b. Administrative and Teaching Organisation	323
c. Educational Regime	324
d. Teaching Programmes	325
e. Internal Relations and International Cooperation	326
3.2.2. INTES Teaching Staff Inventory	327
a. Distribution of teaching staff according to status ..	327
b. Distribution of teaching staff according to grade ..	327
c. Distribution of teaching staff according to subject	328
3.2.3. A Summary of Students Matriculated at INTES	328
a. Distribution of the total number of students at INTES during the academic year 2014/2015 according to gender and degree level	329
b. Distribution of the total number of students on programmes according to subject	329
3.2.4. A Summary of the Total Number of Candidates Awarded Degrees at INTES	330
a. Distribution of the total number of diplomas awarded at INTES according to gender and course at the end of the academic year 2014/2013	331
b. Trends in the numbers of diplomas awarded at INTES over the last five years (from 2010 to 2014 in all subjects)	331
3.2.5. An Analysis of Indicators According to the SWOT Model	332
3.2.6. Clarifications, Notes and Explanations	341
3.2.7. Observations on Best Practices in Human Rights ...	344

SUMARIO

	Page
3.3. <i>Press and Information Sciences Institute [Institut de presse et des sciences de L'information (IPSI)]. University of Manouba . . .</i> <i>FATEN BEN LAGHA, HELA BEN ALI, HIND SOUDANI, NASSER MOKNI</i>	345
3.3.1. Background and IPSI's Legal Framework	345
a. Administrative and Teaching Organisation	346
b. Educational Regime	346
c. Structure and Educational Courses at IPSI	347
d. An Analysis of Teaching Staff	348
e. An Analysis of Student Numbers Enrolled at IPSI	348
f. External Relations and International Cooperation	350
3.3.2. SWOT Analysis of Data by Line	350
a. Policies and Actions	351
b. Teaching and Learning Processes and Tools	353
c. Research	356
d. Contextual Learning	357
e. Education and Vocational Training of Higher Education Staff	359
3.3.3. Clarifications and Explanations with Regard to Each Line of Enquiry	360
3.3.4. Best Institutional Practices and Human Rights	365
3.3.5. Conclusion	366

CHAPTER 5

STATUS AND CHALLENGES FOR THE HUMAN RIGHTS-BASED APPROACH IN THE EUROPEAN COUNTRIES 369

JUAN ANDRÉS MUÑOZ ARNAU, ESTHER RAYA DíEZ

1. Spain	371
1.1. <i>The external environment</i>	371
1.2. <i>The constitutional and legal framework</i>	376
1.2.1. <i>The Spanish Constitution of 1978</i>	376
1.2.2. <i>The legal development</i>	378
1.3. <i>Education in human rights in universities</i>	385
1.4. <i>Conclusions</i>	387

	Page
1.5. <i>SWOT analysis</i>	389
1.5.1. External factors	389
1.5.2. Internal factors	393
2. Assessment of university institution indicators	398
2.1. <i>University of La Rioja (Spain)</i>	398
<i>ISABEL MARTÍNEZ NAVAS, NEUS CAPARRÓS CIVEIRA</i>	
2.1.1. About the University of La Rioja	398
2.1.2. The indicators and their application	398
2.1.3. SWOT analysis	399
a. Strengths	401
b. Weaknesses	405
c. Opportunities	407
d. Threats	408
2.1.4. Good practices at the University of La Rioja	408
a. The University Ombudsman	409
b. University Social Responsibility Office	410
c. Collaboration with institutions that defend respect for human rights and participation in citizen initiatives to raise awareness on the subject of human rights	410
d. Addressing diversity	411
e. Equal opportunities	413
f. Sustainable Development	414
g. Development cooperation in universities	415
h. Volunteering in the University of La Rioja	416
i. Participation of teachers, students and Administration staff in the proposal and development of extracurricular education and cultural and university extension activities	416
j. Policies on availability and free access to research sources that are respectful of the right to privacy	417
k. Training of teaching and research staff and innovation in teaching	418
l. Specific curricular activities in human rights education	418

SUMARIO

	Page
2.2. <i>University of A Coruña (UDC)</i>	419
<i>JUAN FERREIRO GALGERA</i>	
2.2.1. Introduction	419
2.2.2. SWOT	422
2.2.3. Good practices	431
2.3. <i>University of Extremadura</i>	433
<i>JAIME ROSSELL GRANADOS, RAFAEL VALENCIA CANDALIJA</i>	
2.3.1. About the University	433
2.3.2. SWOT analysis	435
a. Weaknesses	436
b. Strengths	438
c. Threats	439
d. Opportunities	440
2.4. <i>University of Zaragoza</i>	441
<i>ZOILA COMBALÍA SOLÍS, PILAR DIAGO, ALEJANDRO GONZÁLEZ-VARAS</i>	
2.4.1. Educational regulations and policies	441
a. Strengths and opportunities	441
b. Weaknesses and threats	442
2.4.2. Guaranteeing the rights of members of the University community	442
a. Strengths and opportunities	442
b. Weaknesses and threats	443
2.4.3. Quality controls	443
a. Strengths and opportunities	443
b. Weaknesses and threats	444
2.4.4. Research	444
a. Strengths and opportunities	444
b. Weaknesses and threats	445
2.4.5. Training for teaching activities	445
a. Strengths and opportunities	445
b. Weaknesses and threats	446
2.4.6. Development cooperation and the promotion of human rights	446
a. Strengths and opportunities	446
b. Weaknesses and threats	447

	Page
2.4.7. Assessment of the study of human rights	447
a. Strengths and Opportunities	447
b. Weaknesses and threats	447
2.4.8. Final assessment: summary of the main weaknesses and threats	448
3. Italy	448
<i>MICHELLE BRUNELLI, PAOLA GANDOLFI</i>	
3.1. <i>National Report</i>	448
3.1.1. The higher education system in Italy	448
3.1.2. SWOT Analysis	453
a. Strengths	454
b. Weaknesses	457
c. Opportunities	458
3.2. <i>Assessment of Indicators at Higher Education Institution Level: University of Bergamo</i>	458
3.2.1. Presentation of the University of Bergamo	458
3.2.2. SWOT Analysis of the University of Bergamo based on indicators	461
3.2.2.1. Strengths among the structural indicators ..	462
a. The university's autonomy: a real strength	462
b. The right to information and guidance – The right to study	462
c. The right to participation and govern- ance	464
d. Transparency of actions	464
3.2.2.2. Strengths among process indicators	464
a. External control of the quality of educa- tion and access to information	464
b. The Code of Ethics: an asset in itself ...	465
c. The Equal Opportunities Committee (CUG): a valuable tool	465
3.2.2.3. Strengths among the outcome indicators ...	466
a. Gender and teacher-student ratios: a positive evolution	466
b. Level of staff and student participation .	467

SUMARIO

	Page
c. Teaching and learning process tools	467
d. Agreements for internships	467
3.2.2.4. Strengths among the indicators in the field of research	467
a. Respect for the right to freedom of choice and research methodology	467
b. The right to free access to reference sources and the right to privacy in research	468
c. Existence of instruments and actions in the University to promote research	468
3.2.2.5. Strengths among the indicators in learning environments	469
a. Explicit reference to human rights in the Statute and in the Code of Ethics	469
b. No complaints regarding human rights: a strength	470
c. Teacher training in the field of human rights	470
d. No “human rights education” in staff recruitment processes: a weakness	470
3.2.3. Case study in Best Practices: the UNESCO Chair for Human Rights at the University of Bergamo	470
3.2.4. Conclusions	473
4. United Kingdom	474
<i>PARESH KATRAMI, MARGHERITA BLANDINI</i>	
4.1. <i>National report</i>	474
4.1.1. Introduction: Higher Education in the UK	474
4.1.2. The Legal and Non-Legal Higher Education Rules in the United Kingdom	475
4.1.3. Higher Education Institutions (HEIs)	477
4.1.4. Funding: HEIs in the UK	478
4.1.5. Autonomy and Quality within HEIs in the UK	479
4.1.6. National Dimension 1	480
a. Structural Indicators	481
b. Process indicators	484
c. Outcome indicators	485

	Page
4.1.7. National Dimension 2	485
a. Structural Indicators	485
b. Process indicators	487
c. Outcome indicators	488
4.2. <i>University of Westminster</i>	490
4.2.1. Introduction	490
4.2.2. The Institution: The University of Westminster	490
4.2.3. Institutional Dimension 1	491
a. Structural Indicators	492
b. Process indicators	495
c. Outcome Indicators	499
4.2.4. Institutional Dimension 2	500
a. Structural Indicators	500
b. Process Indicators	501
c. Outcome Indicators	502
4.2.5. Conclusions/Comments	504
CHAPTER 6	
BEST PRACTICES IN HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS .	505
<i>ISABEL MARTÍNEZ NAVAS</i>	
1. Introduction	506
2. Institutional Reports	506
3. Indicators	507
3.1. <i>Line I: Policies and Related Implementation Measures</i>	508
3.1.1. Principles and values that respect human rights ...	508
3.1.2. Strategic planning for the promotion of human rights	509
3.1.3. Adhesion to the statements and declarations of other human rights institutions	510
3.1.4. Cooperative agreements with institutions and entities promoting the culture of human rights and their defence	510
3.1.5. Existence of standard conventions or contracts with the university that include respect for human rights ..	511
3.1.6. Acknowledgements or awards to the University for its contribution to human rights	511

SUMARIO

	Page
3.1.7. Mechanisms to control the degree of satisfaction of members of the university community, which include issues concerning respect for human rights . .	512
3.1.8. Access for all to higher education and gender equality [ICPI.18]	512
3.1.9. Access for all to higher education	512
3.1.10. Different types of projects, programmes or activities on Human Rights promoted and supported by the University	515
3.2. <i>Line II: Teaching and Learning Processes and Tools</i>	515
3.2.1. Presence of human rights in bachelor and postgraduate study programmes	516
3.2.2. Consideration of diversity in the teaching-learning process	518
3.2.3. Activities to promote the culture of human rights . .	519
3.3. <i>Line III: Research</i>	520
3.3.1. Promotion of research on topics specifically related to Human Rights	520
3.3.2. Research groups investigating subjects that are directly related to human rights	521
3.3.3. Documentary and bibliographical resources	521
3.3.4. Codes of ethics for research	522
3.4. <i>Line IV: The Learning Environment</i>	523
3.4.1. Specific mechanisms to safeguard the rights of members of the university community	523
3.4.2. Student right to participation	524
3.4.3. Social responsibility	525
3.4.4. Associations and Voluntary Work	526
3.5. <i>Line V: Teacher Training and Professional Development</i>	527

CHAPTER 7

ANALYSIS OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF HRBA IN THE ABDEM CONSORTIUM COUNTRIES	531
---	-----

NEUS CAPARRÓS CIVERA, FERMÍN NAVARIDAS NALDA

1. Opportunities	531
2. Threats	533

	<u>Page</u>
3. Strengths	535
4. Weaknesses	536
5. SWOT table	538
 CONCLUSIONS	 563
<i>ANA MARÍA VEGA GUTIÉRREZ</i>	
Strategic lines	564